arXiv:1702.01651v1 [math.DS] 6 Feb 2017

EXPONENTIALLY SMALL SPLITTING OF SEPARATRICES NEAR A PERIOD-DOUBLING BIFURCATION IN AREA-PRESERVING MAPS

DENIS GAIDASHEV AND MARINA GONCHENKO

Abstract. We consider the conservative Hénon family at the period-doubling bifurcation of its fixed point and demonstrate that the separatrices of the fixed saddle point nearing the bifurcation split exponentially: given that λ_+ is the smaller of the eigenvalues of the saddle point, the angle between the separatrices along the homoclinic orbit satisfies

$$\sin\alpha = O(e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{\log|\lambda_+|}}) + O\left(e^{-2(1-\kappa)\frac{\pi^2}{\log|\lambda_+|}}\right),$$

for any positive $\kappa < 1$.

1. Introduction

Period-doubling bifurcation in area preserving maps of $\mathbb{R}^2$ has been a focus of many works. A period-doubling bifurcation in an area-preserving one-parameter family H_t happens when the complex-conjugate eigenvalues of a linearization of the map $H_t^{2^k}$ at a 2^k-periodic point pass to the real line through the value -1. The corresponding stable elliptic periodic point becomes a flip saddle: at the same time a period 2^{k+1} stable elliptic periodic orbit is "born" (passes from $\mathbb{C}^2$ to $\mathbb{R}^2$).

It has been demonstrated numerically, that a typical family of area-preserving maps undergoes a period doubling cascade which accumulates on a particular, "universal", or infinitely renormalizable, map H_{t^*} in the family [DP, Hel, BCGG, Bou]. To date there are no rigorous results about existence or genericity of such cascades.

In the dynamic plane, the 2^k unstable periodic orbits, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, of the map H_{t^*} accumulate on a Cantor set (cf [GJ1, GJ2]). Existence of such a map in every typical family in an appropriate functional space has been demonstrated in [EKW1, EKW2] and [GJ3]. The properties of the Cantor set have been studied in the renormalization framework in [GJM]. In particular, it has been demonstrated that the Cantor sets are stable in the sense of vanishing Lyapunov exponents,

Date: February 7, 2017.

The second author has been partially supported by Juan de la Cierva-Formación Fellowship $FJCI-2014-21229$. M. Gonchenko warmly thanks the Department of Mathematics of Uppsala University for their hospitality and support; during her stay at Uppsala University, M. Gonchenko was also partially supported by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation grant $2013-0315$.



a) b) c)

Figure 1. A period doubling bifurcation of the fixed point $(-1+\sqrt{4+\epsilon}, -1+\sqrt{4+\epsilon})$ of the Hénon map $H_\epsilon(x,y) = (y, -x+3+\epsilon-y^2)$.: a) $\epsilon = -0.1$, b) $\epsilon = 0.0$, c) $\epsilon = 0.1$.

and that they are rigid: the dynamics on the Cantor sets $C_{H_{t^*}}$ and $C_{F_{t^*}}$ for two infinitely renormalizable maps in the families H_t and F_t are conjugate by a $C^{1+\alpha}$-transformation.

In this paper we begin a study of hyperbolic sets associated with period-doubling bifurcations with the ultimate goal of demonstrating largeness and universality of the Hausdorff dimension of these sets.

Existence and properties of the hyperbolic sets arising in saddle-center bifurcations in area-preserving maps and Hamiltonian systems have been extensively studied in the literature. Exponential splitting of stable and unstable leafs in these hyperbolic sets has been addressed in [La1, La2, DR, DGJS, DGG1, DGG2, DGG3, Ge1, Ge2, GS, FS, LMS]. Furthermore, exponential smallness of the splitting can be used together with the methods developed by P. Duarte [Du1, Du2] to bound the thickness of the hyperbolic Cantor sets near the bifurcation, and, to eventually estimate the Hausdorff dimension of the Cantor sets. This approach has been already used on several occasions in the setting of the restricted three body problem [GK1, GK2, GMS].

We conjecture that the hyperbolic Cantor sets arising in homoclinic intersections in families of exact symplectic maps near a period-doubling bifurcation also approach the maximal Hausdorff dimension. Hyperbolicity of period-doubling renormalization causes this behaviour to be universal in typical families - one-parameter families transversal to the codimension one renormalization stable manifold.

Conjecture. *Let t_k be an increasing convergent sequence of period-doubling bifurcation parameters in a typical family H_t of exact symplectic diffeomorphisms of a subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ to $\mathbb{R}^2$.*



a) b) c)

Figure 2. Stable and unstable manifolds at the fixed point $(-1+\sqrt{4+\epsilon}, -1+\sqrt{4+\epsilon})$ of H_ϵ: a) $\epsilon = 0.3$, b) $\epsilon = 0.2$, c) $\epsilon = 0.1$.

Then there exists a sequence $\epsilon_k > 0$ such that every map H_t with $t \in (t_k, t_k + \epsilon_k)$ admits an invariant hyperbolic set Λ_t whose Hausdorff dimension approaches the maximal,

$$HD(\Lambda_t) \geq 2 - C(t - t_k)^\alpha,$$

where $C > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ are some universal constant.

The proof of the conjecture will be split in three steps.

In the first step we prove the exponential smallness of the splitting between the separatrices of the unstable periodic point nearing a period-doubling bifurcation. This will be subject of the present paper.

In the second step, one uses the exponential smallness of the splitting to estimate the Hausdorff dimension of the Cantor sets.

The third step uses the hyperbolicity of renormalization to demonstrate that these estimates are universal for a class of typical families.

Our main result of the present paper will be an estimate on a splitting angle α between the separatrices $\gamma^\pm(\tau)$ in the homoclinic orbit $\gamma^+ \cap \gamma^- = \{\gamma^-(\tau_i)\}_{i=0}^\infty = \{\gamma^+(\tau_i)\}_{i=0}^\infty$:

$$\sin\alpha = \frac{C}{\|\dot\gamma^+(\tau_i)\|\|\dot\gamma^-(\tau_i)\|} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{h}} + O\left(e^{-2\frac{\pi^2}{h}}\right),$$

where $\|\dot\gamma^\pm(\tau_i)\|$ are lengths of the corresponding tangent vectors at the points of the homoclinic orbit, C is some constant and $h = |\lambda_\pm + 1| + O(h^2)$ is the deviation of the eigenvalues $\lambda_\pm$ of a saddle periodic point near the period-doubling bifurcation through -1.

2. Expansion of separatrices in a small parameter

2.1. **Expansion in the lowest order.** We consider the area-preserving Hénon family H_ϵ close to the period-doubling bifurcation parameter $\epsilon = 0$,

$$H_\epsilon(x, y) = (y, -x + 3 + \epsilon - y^2). \tag{1}$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, the map has a pair of stable nodes and a saddle point at $p_\epsilon = (x_\epsilon, y_\epsilon)$

$$x_\epsilon = y_\epsilon = -1 + \sqrt{4 + \epsilon}. \tag{2}$$

We will now consider the second iterate of H_ϵ,

$$H_\epsilon^2(x, y) = (-x + 3 + \epsilon - y^2, -y + 3 + \epsilon - (-x + 3 + \epsilon - y^2)^2). \tag{3}$$

The second iterate has the following derivative at (x_ϵ, y_ϵ).

$$DH_\epsilon^2(x_\epsilon, y_\epsilon) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2y_\epsilon \\ -2y_\epsilon^2 + 2\epsilon - 2x_\epsilon + 6 & -4(y_\epsilon^2 - \epsilon + x_\epsilon - 3)y_\epsilon - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

and the following eigenvalues

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\pm &= 9 + 2\epsilon - 4\sqrt{4+\epsilon} \pm 2\sqrt{36 + 13\epsilon - (4\epsilon + 18)\sqrt{4+\epsilon} + \epsilon^2} \\ &= 1 \pm \sqrt{2\epsilon} + \epsilon \pm \frac{9}{32}\sqrt{2}\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} + o(\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

The map H_ϵ^2 is reversible through the involution $R \circ H_\epsilon$, $R(x, y) = (y, x)$.

Introduce a small parameter h,

$$h = \log \lambda_+ = \sqrt{2\epsilon} + o(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}) \implies \epsilon = \frac{1}{2}h^2 + \frac{5}{192}h^4 + \frac{25}{73728}h^6 + O(h^8). \tag{5}$$

We will now pass to the coordinate system where the map H_ϵ^2 assumes the form $F_0 + \epsilon F_1 + o(\epsilon)$,

$$F_0(x, y) = (x + y, y) + O(x^i y^j), \quad i + j \geq 2. \tag{6}$$

This is achieved through a conjugation by $T(x, y) = (y/2 - 1/2, x + y - 2)$. The second iterate in the new coordinate system assumes the form

$$\begin{aligned} F_\epsilon(x, y) &= T(H_\epsilon^2(T^{-1}(x, y))) = F_0(x, y) + \epsilon F_1(x, y) + \epsilon^2 F_2(x, y) + O(\epsilon^3), \\ F_0(x, y) &= (x + y + 2x^2 - 2xy - \frac{1}{2}y^2 - 8x^3 - 4x^2y - 8x^4, y - 4xy - y^2 - 16x^3 - 8x^2y - 16x^4), \\ F_1(x, y) &= (2x + y + 4x^2 - \frac{1}{2}, 4x + 2y + 8x^2), \\ F_2(x, y) &= (-\frac{1}{2}, -1). \end{aligned}$$

We will also use the notation

$$G_\epsilon = T \circ H_\epsilon \circ T^{-1}. \tag{7}$$

Let $\gamma^{\pm}(t) = (x^{\pm}(t), y^{\pm}(t)$ be the parametrization of the stable $(+)$ and unstable $(-)$ manifolds of w_ϵ,

$$w_\epsilon = T(p_\epsilon) = (\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4+\epsilon} - 1, 2\sqrt{4+\epsilon} - 4), \tag{8}$$

such that

$$F_\epsilon(\gamma^{\pm}(t)) = \gamma^{\pm}(t+h). \tag{9}$$

Necessarily,

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \gamma^{\pm}(t) = w_\epsilon. \tag{10}$$

We will momentarily assume that $\gamma^{\pm}$ intersect along a homoclinic orbit. Fix one of these homoclinic points q_0. Then, we can parametrize the pieces of $\gamma^{\pm}$ bounded by w_ϵ and q_0 simultaneously by a curve $\gamma = (x, y) : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^2$ so that

$$\gamma(0) = \gamma^{+}(0) = \gamma^{-}(0) = q_0, \tag{11}$$

and

$$\gamma(t) = \gamma^{+}(t), \quad \gamma(-t) = \gamma^{-}(t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

By reversibility of F_ϵ,

$$\gamma(-t) = S(\gamma(t)),$$

where

$$S = T \circ R \circ H_\epsilon \circ T^{-1}.$$

We will further assume that $\gamma(t)$ admits the following expansion in powers of h.

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= h\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X_k(t)h^k, \\ y(t) &= h^2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y_k(t)h^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the equation $F_\epsilon(\gamma(t)) = \gamma(t+h)$ together with boundary condition (10) assumes the following form in the lowest and the next lowest orders in h.

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(t+h) - \gamma(t) =& \left(h^2\left(Y_0(t) + 2X_0(t)^2 - \frac{1}{4} + o(h)\right),\right. \\ & \left. h^3\left(-4X_0(t)Y_0(t) - 16X_0(t)^3 - 16X_0(t)^3 + 2X_0(t) + o(h)\right)\right), \\ \lim_{t\to\pm\infty}(X_0(t), Y_0(t)) =& \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right) + o(h). \end{aligned}$$



Figure 3. The curve $(X_0(t), Y_0(t))$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The restriction of the curve to positive t is given in blue, to negative t - in red.

therefore,

$$X_0'(t) = Y_0(t) + 2X_0(t)^2 - \frac{1}{4} + o(h), \tag{12}$$

$$Y_0'(t) = -4X_0(t)Y_0(t) - 16X_0(t)^3 - 16X_0(t)^3 + 2X_0(t) + o(h), \tag{13}$$

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty}(X_0(t), Y_0(t)) = \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right) + o(h). \tag{14}$$

The solution to the equations (12) − (14) in the limit $h \to 0$ is as follows.

$$X_0(t) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{sech}(t), \tag{15}$$

$$Y_0(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{sech}(t)\left(\tanh(t) + \operatorname{sech}(t)\right) + \frac{1}{4} \tag{16}$$

The equations (15) and (16) demonstrate that the separatrices $\gamma^{\pm}(t)$, considered in the lowest order in h, constitute a homoclinic connection. The standard arguments imply that the separatrices, if shown to exist, must intersect along a homoclinic orbit $\{q_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$,

$$q_i = \gamma^+(t_i^+) = \gamma^-(t_i^-).$$

We define the *primary homoclinic point* q_0 to be the intersection with the smallest absolute values of the parametrizations t_i^+ and t_i^- of the intersections.

Let $\Omega = dx \wedge dy$ be the canonical symplectic form in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Define the *homoclinic invariant* as

$$\theta := \Omega(\dot\gamma^+(t_0^-), \dot\gamma^+(t_0^+)).$$

Since F_ϵ is an exact symplectic diffeomorphism, we have that

$$\theta = \Omega(\dot\gamma^+(t_i^-), \dot\gamma^+(t_i^+)),\ i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The homoclinic invariant is straightforwardly related to the angle α between the tangents to the separatrices at the homoclinic points:

$$\sin\alpha_i = \frac{\theta}{\|\dot\gamma^+(t_i^+)\|\|\dot\gamma^-(t_i^-)\|}.$$

We are now ready to give a precise statement of our main theorem. Because of the translational freedom of the parametrization, we can assume w.l.o.g. that $t_0^+ = t_0^- = 0$.

Theorem A: Exponential splitting. If h is sufficiently small, then there exists a constant Θ_1, and for any positive $\kappa < 1$, a constant $C > 0$, such that homoclinic invariant satisfies

$$\left|\theta - \Theta_1 e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{h}}\right| < Ce^{-2(1-\kappa)\frac{\pi^2}{h}}.$$

Remark 2.1. *At present we do not demonstrate that $|\Theta_1| > 0$. Positivity of this constant would be an important result, whose non-trivial proof is outside of the scope of this paper.*

2.2. **Expansion in the higher orders.** Writing out the equation for $\gamma(t+h) - \gamma(t)$ in the next order in h results in the following equations for $X_1(t)$ and $Y_1(t)$) in the limit $h \to 0$:

$$X_1'(t) = \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{sech}(t) - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{sech}^3(t) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{sech}^2(t)\tanh(t) + 2\operatorname{sech}(t)X_1(t) + Y_1(t), \quad (17)$$

$$Y_1'(t) = -\frac{1}{4}\operatorname{sech}^4(t) - \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{sech}(t)^2\tanh^2(t) + \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{sech}^2(t) + \frac{1}{4}(2\operatorname{sech}^3(t) - 10\operatorname{sech}^2(t) - 2\operatorname{sech}(t)\tanh(t) - 1)X_1(t) - 2\operatorname{sech}(t)Y_1(t) - \frac{1}{16}, \quad (18)$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty}(X_1(t), Y_1(t)) = \left(\frac{1}{16}, 0\right).$$

This system (17) − (18) is equivalent to the following second order-differential equation

$$X_1''(t) = (1 - 6\operatorname{sech}^2(t))X_1(t) - \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{sech}^4(t) - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{sech}^2(t) - \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{sech}(t) - 6\operatorname{sech}^3(t))\tanh(t). \quad (19)$$

According to the result of [Yo], the solution of an initial value problem for the equation (19) either approaches $1/16 + C_1e^t + C_2e^{-t}$, for some constants $C_1 \neq 0$ and C_2:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\left|\frac{X_1(t) - \frac{1}{16}}{C_1e^t + C_2e^{-t}} - 1\right| = 0,$$

or $1/16 + C_2 e^{-t}$, for some constant C_2:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \left| X_1(t) - \frac{1}{16} - C_2 e^{-t} \right| = 0,$$

and, furthermore, it approaches $1/16 + C_1 e^{t} + C_2 e^{-t}$, for some constants C_1 and $C_2 \neq 0$ as $t \to -\infty$,

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty} \left| \frac{X_1(t) - \frac{1}{16}}{C_1 e^{t} + C_2 e^{-t}} - 1 \right| = 0,$$

or $1/16 + C_1 e^{t}$, for some constant C_1:

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty} \left| X_1(t) - \frac{1}{16} - C_1 e^{t} \right| = 0,$$

The two solutions of (19) satisfying the boundary condition $\lim_{t\to\pm\infty}(X_1(t), Y_1(t)) = (1/16, 0)$ have the following asymptotic form

$$X_1^+(t) \to \frac{1}{16} + C_+ e^{-t}, \text{ as } t \to \infty,$$
$$X_1^-(t) \to \frac{1}{16} + C_- e^{t}, \text{ as } t \to -\infty.$$

In general, we have in the limit $h \to 0$

$$X_k'(t) = 4X_0X_k + Y_k + g_k^{(1)}(X_0, Y_0, \ldots, X_{k-1}, Y_{k-1}), \tag{20}$$
$$Y_k'(t) = (2 - 4Y_0 - 48X_0^2)X_k - 4X_0Y_k + g_k^{(2)}(X_0, Y_0, \ldots, X_{k-1}, Y_{k-1}), \tag{21}$$

where $g_k^{(1)}$ and $g_k^{(2)}$ are polynomial functions of their variables.

The solution (15) – (16) has a singularity at $i\pi/2 + \pi n$. More generally,

Lemma 2.2. *Solutions to an initial value problem for the equations* (20) – (21) *continue analytically to the strip* $|\Im(t)| < \pi/2$, *and have a simple pole at* $t = i\pi/2 + \pi n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The system (20) – (21) is linear in (X_k, Y_k). The vector field of this planar system is a Lipschitz continuous function of X_k and Y_k: indeed, the matrix valued function

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4X_0(t) & 1 \\ 2 - 4Y_0(t) - 48X_0(t)^2 & -4X_0(t) \end{bmatrix},$$

has a bounded norm on $|\Im(t)| \le \rho < \pi/2 - \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ (see 15–16). By Picard-Lindelöf existence theorem, the solutions extend analytically to $|\Im(t)| \le \rho < \pi/2 - \epsilon$, and since ϵ is arbitrary small, to $|\Im(t)| \le \rho < \pi/2$. □

3. Existence of separatrices

We will study the behaviour of the solutions $\gamma^{\pm}(t)$ in a neighborhood of one of the two nearest singularities, specifically, $t = i\pi/2$, by reparametrizing the curves $\gamma^{\pm}$ as follows

$$\alpha_\epsilon^{\pm}(\tau) = (x^{\pm}(t), y^{\pm}(t)),\ \tau = \frac{t - i\frac{\pi}{2}}{h}. \tag{22}$$

The equation (9) together with the boundary condition (10) assume the following form

$$F_\epsilon(\alpha_\epsilon^{\pm}(\tau)) = \alpha_\epsilon^{\pm}(\tau + 1) \tag{23}$$

$$\lim_{\tau\to\pm\infty} \alpha_\epsilon^{\pm}(\tau) = w_\epsilon. \tag{24}$$

We will look for $\alpha_\epsilon^{\pm}$ as a formal power series

$$\alpha_\epsilon^{\pm}(\tau) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k^{\pm}(\tau) h^k. \tag{25}$$

Specifically, the equations $(23) - (24)$ in the lowest order in h become

$$F_0(\alpha_0^{\pm}(\tau)) = \alpha_0^{\pm}(\tau + 1) \tag{26}$$

$$\lim_{\tau\to\pm\infty} \alpha_0^{\pm}(\tau) = (0, 0). \tag{27}$$

Our immediate goal will be to obtain a result about the existence of solutions to the equations $(23) - (24)$ and $(26) - (27)$. To that end, set formally,

$$\alpha_0^{\pm}(\tau) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{c}_k^{\pm} \tau^{-k}, \quad \mathbf{c}_k^{\pm} = (a_k^{\pm}, b_k^{\pm}).$$

A substitution of the power series in the equation (26) allows to find several first coefficients in this expansion. We, therefore, set

$$\alpha_0^{-}(\tau) = \left(\frac{a_1^-}{\tau} + \frac{a_2^- + \xi_0^-(\tau)}{\tau^2}, \frac{b_2^-}{\tau^2} + \frac{b_3^- + \eta_0^-(\tau)}{\tau^3} \right). \tag{28}$$

where $\xi_0^-(\tau)$, $\eta_0^-(\tau) = O(1)$, and

$$a_1^- = \pm\frac{i}{2}, \quad b_2^- = \mp\frac{i}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad b_3^- = (\mp 2i - 2)a_2^- + \frac{1}{2}, \tag{29}$$

a_2^- being a free parameter. Similarly,

$$\alpha_\epsilon^{-}(\tau) = \left(\frac{a_1^-}{\tau} + \frac{a_2^- + \xi_\epsilon^-(\tau)}{\tau^2}, \frac{b_2^-}{\tau^2} + \frac{b_3^- + \eta_\epsilon^-(\tau)}{\tau^3} \right).$$

We would like to remark that there are two possible choice of signs in the above coefficients. They correspond to two different unstable separatrices, each invariant under F_ϵ^2. The union of the two is the unstable separatrix, invariant under F_ϵ. We fix a choice of upper signs and proceed.

Most of our computations below will be performed simultaneously for α_0^- and α_ϵ^-, to streamline the notation, we will use the shorthand

$$\alpha_\omega^\pm(\tau) = (x_\omega(\tau), y_\omega(\tau)), \tag{30}$$

with $\omega \in \{0, \epsilon\}$.

We substitute this ansatz into (23) and (26) to obtain an equation for ξ_ω^- and η_ω^-, $\omega \in \{0, \epsilon\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_\omega^-(\tau+1) &= \xi_\omega^-(\tau)(1+(2i+2)\tau^{-1}) + \eta_\omega^-\tau^{-1} \\
&\qquad + \tau^{-2}p_\omega(\tau^{-1}, \xi_\omega^-(\tau), \eta_\omega^-(\tau)), \qquad (31)\\
\eta_\omega^-(\tau+1) &= \xi_\omega^-(\tau)(2i+10)\tau^{-1} + (1+(3-2i)\tau^{-1})\eta_\omega^-(\tau) \\
&\qquad + \tau^{-2}q_\omega(\tau^{-1}, \xi_\omega^-(\tau), \eta_\omega^-(\tau)), \qquad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

where p_ω and q_ω are polynomials of their arguments of order 0.

Let $\delta \in (0, \pi/2)$ and $A > 0$. Set

$$\mathcal{D}_{A,\delta}^- = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg(z+A)| \geq \delta\}, \tag{33}$$

and define $\mathcal{D}_{A,\delta}^+$ to be the reflection of this set with respect to the y-axes.

Furthermore, let $\mathcal{D}$ be any closed domain in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $0 \notin \mathcal{D}$. Given non-negative μ, denote by $\mathcal{X}_\mu(\mathcal{D})$ the Banach space of complex valued continuous functions in $\mathcal{D}$ analytic in int $\mathcal{D}$, for which the following norm is finite,

$$\|f\|_\mu = \sup_{z\in\mathcal{D}} |z^\mu f(z)|.$$

We are now ready to prove the existence of solutions of the equations (31) – (32). We will prove the existence for both equations (23) – (24) and (26) – (27) simultaneously by employing the subscript $\omega \in \{0, \epsilon\}$ in our notation (e.g. $(\xi_\omega^-, \eta_\omega^-)$).

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\omega \in \{0, \epsilon\}$, and let $\delta > 0$ be fixed. Then, for every $\rho > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exists $A > 0$ and a function $(\xi_\omega^-, \eta_\omega^-)$ which is the unique solution of the equations (31) – (32) in $\mathcal{B}_\rho(0) \subset \mathcal{X}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}_{A,\delta}^-) \times \mathcal{X}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}_{A,\delta}^-)$.*

Proof. Fix $\rho > 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $A > 0$. Fix a pair $\mathcal{X}_\mu(\mathcal{D}_{A,\delta}^-) \times \mathcal{X}_\mu(\mathcal{D}_{A,\delta}^-)$, and consider the functions

$$f_\omega(\tau) = p_\omega(\tau^{-1}, \xi_\omega(\tau), \eta_\omega(\tau))$$

and

$$g_\omega(\tau) = q_\omega(\tau^{-1}, \xi_\omega(\tau), \eta_\omega(\tau)).$$

We would like to find a particular solution to the non-homogeneous linear system of difference equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_\omega^-(\tau+1) &= \xi_\omega^-(\tau)(1+(2i+2)\tau^{-1}) + \eta_\omega^-\tau^{-1} + \tau^{-2}f_\omega(\tau),\\
\eta_\omega^-(\tau+1) &= \xi_\omega^-(\tau)(2i+10)\tau^{-1} + (1+(3-2i)\tau^{-1})\eta_\omega^-(\tau) + \tau^{-2}g_\omega(\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

To that end, we first diagonalize this system

$$\hat{\xi}^-_\omega(\tau+1) = \hat{\xi}^-_\omega + \tau^{-2}\hat{f}_\omega(\tau,\hat{\xi}_\omega,\hat{\eta}_\omega) = \hat{\xi}^-_\omega + \tau^{-2}\hat{f}_\omega(\tau), \tag{34}$$

$$\hat{\eta}^-_\omega(\tau+1) = \left(1+\frac{5}{\tau}\right)\hat{\eta}^-_\omega + \tau^{-2}\hat{g}_\omega(\tau,\hat{\xi}_\omega,\hat{\eta}_\omega) = \left(1+\frac{5}{\tau}\right)\hat{\eta}^-_\omega + \tau^{-2}\hat{g}_\omega(\tau), \tag{35}$$

where $(\hat{\xi}_\omega,\hat{\eta}_\omega) = L^{-1}(\xi_\omega,\eta_\omega)$ and

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2-2i & 3-2i \end{bmatrix}.$$

is the diagonalizing coordinate change.

It is elementary to check that a particular solution to (34)-(35) is given formally by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\xi}^-_\omega(\tau) \\ \hat{\eta}^-_\omega(\tau) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} A(\tau-i)\begin{bmatrix} (\tau-k)^{-2}\hat{f}_\omega(\tau-i) \\ (\tau-k)^{-2}\hat{g}_\omega(\tau-k) \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$A(\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+\frac{5}{\tau} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice, that $|1+5/\tau| < 1$ for all τ such that $\Re(\tau) < -5/2$. Therefore, the product $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A(\tau-i)$ converges to

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

for all $\tau \in \mathcal{D}^-_{A,\delta}$ since all but a finite number $(\tau-i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy $\Re(\tau-i) < -5/2$. In fact, a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} A(\tau-i) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \prod_{m=0}^{4}\frac{\tau+m}{\tau-(k-1)+m} \end{bmatrix}.$$

A look at the geometry of the set $D^-_{A,\delta}$ shows that the absolute value of every factor in $\prod_{m=0}^{4}\frac{\tau+m}{\tau-(k-1)+m}$ is bounded from above by $\sin^{-1}\delta$. Therefore,

$$\left|\prod_{m=0}^{4}\frac{\tau+m}{\tau-(k-1)+m}\right| \le \sin^{-5}\delta.$$

Also, notice, that $|\tau-k| \ge (A+k)\sin\delta$. Therefore,

$$\|\hat{\xi}^-_\omega\|_\mu \le \|\tau^{-1+\varepsilon}\hat{f}_\omega\|_\mu \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{|A+k|^{1+\varepsilon}\sin^{1+\varepsilon}\delta} = \frac{\zeta(1+\varepsilon,A)}{\sin^{1+\varepsilon}\delta}\|\tau^{-1+\varepsilon}\hat{f}_\omega\|_\mu,,$$

$$\|\hat{\eta}^-_\omega\|_\mu \le \|\tau^{-1+\varepsilon}\hat{g}_\omega\|_\mu \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{|A+k|^{1+\varepsilon}\sin^{6+\varepsilon}\delta} = \frac{\zeta(1+\varepsilon,A)}{\sin^{6+\varepsilon}\delta}\|\tau^{-1+\varepsilon}\hat{g}_\omega\|_\mu,$$

where ζ is the Hurwitz zeta function.

Since the polynomial (p_ω, q_ω) does contain a constant term, so does $(\hat{p}_\omega, \hat{q}_\omega)$, and it follows that $\tau^{-1+\varepsilon}\hat{f}_\omega$ and $\tau^{-1+\varepsilon}\hat{g}_\omega$ are in $\mathcal{X}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}^-_{A,\delta})$ whenever $\hat{\xi}_\omega$ and $\hat{\eta}_\omega$ are in $\mathcal{X}_\mu(\mathcal{D}^-_{A,\delta})$ for any $\mu \geq 0$. Furthermore, since $\hat{p}_\omega$ and $\hat{q}_\omega$ are polynomials, the norms $\|\tau^{-1+\varepsilon}\hat{f}_\omega\|_{1-\varepsilon}$ and $\|\tau^{-1+\varepsilon}\hat{g}_\omega\|_{1-\varepsilon}$ are bounded by some constant depending on $\hat{\rho}$ whenever $(\hat{\xi}_\omega, \hat{\eta}_\omega) \in \mathcal{B}_{\hat{\rho}} \subset \mathcal{X}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}^-_{A,\delta}) \times \mathcal{X}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}^-_{A,\delta})$. The map

$$T(\hat{\xi}_\omega, \hat{\eta}_\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} A(\tau - i) \begin{bmatrix} (\tau-k)^{-2}\hat{f}_\omega(\tau - i) \\ (\tau-k)^{-2}\hat{g}_\omega(\tau - k) \end{bmatrix}$$

is an analytic map from $\mathcal{B}_{\hat{\rho}} \subset \mathcal{X}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}^-_{A,\delta}) \times \mathcal{X}_{1-\varepsilon}\mathcal{D}^-_{A,\delta})$ to $\mathcal{X}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}^-_{A,\delta}) \times \mathcal{X}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}^-_{A,\delta})$ whose norm admits a bound

$$\|T(\hat{\xi}_\omega, \hat{\eta}_\omega)\|_{1-\varepsilon} \leq C_{\hat{\rho}} \frac{\zeta(1+\varepsilon, A)}{\sin^{6+\varepsilon}\delta} \|(\hat{\xi}_\omega, \hat{\eta}_\omega)\|_{1-\varepsilon}.$$

We recall, that $\zeta(1+\varepsilon, A)$ is a monotone decreasing function of its second argument on $\mathbb{R}_+$, with $\lim_{x\to\infty} \zeta(1+\varepsilon, A) = 0$, therefore T is a map of $\mathcal{B}_{\hat{\rho}} \subset \mathcal{X}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}^-_{A,\delta}) \times \mathcal{X}_{1-\varepsilon}\mathcal{D}^-_{A,\delta})$ into itself if A is chosen large enough.

Given two functions $(\hat{\xi}_\omega, \hat{\eta}_\omega)$ and $(\widetilde{\hat{\xi}}_\omega, \widetilde{\hat{\eta}}_\omega)$ in $\mathcal{B}_{\hat{\rho}} \subset \mathcal{X}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}^-_{A,\delta}) \times \mathcal{X}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}^-_{A,\delta})$, the norm of difference of the action of T is bounded as follows

$$\|T(\hat{\xi}_\omega, \hat{\eta}_\omega) - T(\widetilde{\hat{\xi}}_\omega, \widetilde{\hat{\eta}}_\omega)\|_{1-\varepsilon} \leq \frac{\zeta(1+\varepsilon, A)}{\sin^{6+\varepsilon}\delta} \|(\hat{f}_\omega - \widetilde{\hat{f}}_\omega, \hat{g}_\omega - \widetilde{\hat{g}}_\omega)\|_{1-\varepsilon}.$$

The polynomials $\hat{q}_\omega$ and $\hat{p}_\omega$ have explicit, but cumbersome equations: a straightforward computation demonstrates that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}_\omega(\tau^{-1}, \hat{\xi}_\omega, \hat{\eta}_\omega) - \hat{p}_\omega(\tau^{-1}, \widetilde{\hat{\xi}}_\omega, \widetilde{\hat{\eta}}_\omega) &= (\hat{\xi}_\omega - \widetilde{\hat{\xi}}_\omega)p^1_\omega + (\hat{\eta}_\omega - \widetilde{\hat{\eta}}_\omega)p^2_\omega \\ \hat{q}_\omega(\tau^{-1}, \hat{\xi}_\omega, \hat{\eta}_\omega) - \hat{q}_\omega(\tau^{-1}, \widetilde{\hat{\xi}}_\omega, \widetilde{\hat{\eta}}_\omega) &= (\hat{\xi}_\omega - \widetilde{\hat{\xi}}_\omega)q^1_\omega + (\hat{\eta}_\omega - \widetilde{\hat{\eta}}_\omega)q^2_\omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $p^i_\omega(\tau^{-1}, \hat{\xi}_\omega, \widetilde{\hat{\xi}}_\omega, \hat{\eta}_\omega, \widetilde{\hat{\eta}}_\omega)$ and $q^i_\omega(\tau^{-1}, \hat{\xi}_\omega, \widetilde{\hat{\xi}}_\omega, \hat{\eta}_\omega, \widetilde{\hat{\eta}}_\omega)$ are some polynomials whose norm on $\mathcal{D}^-_{A,\delta} \times \mathcal{B}^{\otimes 4}_{\hat{\rho}}$ is bounded by some constant depending on $\hat{\rho}$. We have, therefore,

$$\|T(\hat{\xi}_\omega, \hat{\eta}_\omega) - T(\widetilde{\hat{\xi}}_\omega, \widetilde{\hat{\eta}}_\omega)\|_{1-\varepsilon} \leq K_{\hat{\rho}} \frac{\zeta(1+\varepsilon, A)}{\sin^{6+\varepsilon}\delta} \|(\hat{\xi}_\omega, \hat{\eta}_\omega) - (\widetilde{\hat{\xi}}_\omega, \widetilde{\hat{\eta}}_\omega)\|_{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Thus, T is a metric contraction if A is sufficiently large. The unique fixed point of this contraction in $\mathcal{B}_{\hat{\rho}}$ is the particular solution of (34)-(35) that we are looking for. □

The reversibility of the map F_ϵ leads to a similar existence result for the stable separatrix.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\omega \in \{0, \epsilon\}$, and let $\delta > 0$ be fixed. Then, for every $\rho > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $A > 0$ and a function $(\xi^+_\omega, \eta^+_\omega)$ which is the unique solution of the equations* (31) − (32) *in $\mathcal{B}_\rho(0) \subset \mathcal{X}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}^+_{A,\delta}) \times \mathcal{X}_{1-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}^+_{A,\delta})$.*

Proof. The map F_ϵ is reversible under the involution S, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F_\omega^{-1}(S(\alpha_\omega^-(-\tau))) &= S(S(F_\omega^{-1}(S(\alpha_\omega^-(-\tau))))) = S(F_\omega(\alpha_\omega^-(-\tau))) \\ &= S(\alpha_\omega^-(-\tau+1)) = S(\alpha_\omega^-(-(\tau-1))), \end{aligned}$$

and the curve $\alpha_\omega^+(\tau) := S(\alpha_\omega^-(-\tau))$ is a stable separatrix of F_ϵ. The conclusion follows. □

Corollary 3.2.1. *The functions $\alpha_\omega^\pm$ have analytic extensions to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_\mp$.*

Proof. Use the equations $(31) - (32)$ to extend α_ω^- analytically to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$. Use the reversibility's of the maps F_0 and F_ϵ to extend α_ω^+ analytically to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$. □

A straightforward computation demonstrates that

$$\alpha_\omega^+(\tau) = \left(\frac{a_1^+}{\tau} + \frac{a_2^+ + \xi_\omega^+(\tau)}{\tau^2}, \frac{b_2^+}{\tau^2} + \frac{b_3^+ + \eta_\omega^+(\tau)}{\tau^3} \right),$$

where

$$a_1^+ = -\frac{i}{2}, \quad a_2^+ = a_2^-, \quad b_2^+ = \frac{i}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad b_3^+ = (2i-2)a_2^+ + \frac{1}{2}, \tag{36}$$

and a_2^+ is a free parameter.

We recall that the map

$$P(u,v) = T \circ R \circ T^{-1}(u,v) = (-u + \frac{v}{2}, v)$$

is a reversor for the map $G_\epsilon = T \circ H_\epsilon \circ T^{-1}$. Therefore, the pair $\beta_\omega^\mp(\tau) := P(\alpha_\omega^\pm(-\tau))$ is also a pair of stable/unstable separatrices of F_ϵ. In particular,

$$\beta_\omega^+(\tau) = P(\alpha_\omega^-(-\tau)) = \left(-\frac{i}{2\tau} + \frac{s}{\tau^2} + \ldots, \frac{i+1}{2\tau^2} + \left((2i-2)s + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1}{\tau^3} + \ldots \right).$$

Notice, the coefficients above correspond to the lower choice of signs in formulas (29).

We will summarize the results of this Section in one theorem.

Theorem B: Existence of separatrices. Let $\omega \in \{0, \epsilon\}$, and let $\delta > 0$ be fixed. Then, for every $\rho > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exists $A > 0$ and a functions $\alpha_\omega^\pm$ and $\beta_\omega^\pm$ which solve the equations $(31) - (32)$ in $\mathcal{B}_\rho(0) \subset \mathcal{X}_{2-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}_{A,\delta}^\pm) \times \mathcal{X}_{3-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}_{A,\delta}^\pm)$.

Additionally, these functions extend analytically to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_\mp$, and satisfy

$$\alpha_\omega^\pm(\tau) = S(\alpha_\omega^\mp(-\tau)), \quad \beta_\omega^\pm(\tau) = P(\alpha_\omega^\mp(-\tau)),$$

and

$$G_\epsilon(\alpha_\omega^\pm) \subset \beta_\omega^\pm, \quad G_\epsilon(\beta_\omega^\pm) \subset \alpha_\omega^\pm.$$

4. Exponential bound on the difference of separatrices

We consider the difference between the two separatrices $w = (u, v) = \alpha_0^+ - \alpha_0^- = (x_0^+ - x_0^-, y_0^+ - y_0^-)$ (cf. (30)) on the common domain of definition. It is straightforward to demonstrate that it satisfies the following equations.

$$\begin{aligned} u(\tau+1) &= u(\tau)\left(1 + 4x_0^-(\tau) - 2y_0^-(\tau) - 2v(\tau) + 2u(\tau) + p_u(\tau)\right) + \\ &+ v(\tau)\left(1 - 2x_0^-(\tau) - y_0^-(\tau) - \frac{1}{2}v(\tau) + p_v(\tau)\right) \\ &= u(\tau)\left(1 + r_u(x_0^-(\tau), y_0^-(\tau), u(\tau), v(\tau))\right) + \\ &+ v(\tau)\left(1 + s_u(x_0^-(\tau), y_0^-(\tau), u(\tau), v(\tau))\right), \qquad (37) \\ v(\tau+1) &= u(\tau)\left(-4y_0^-(\tau) - 4v(\tau) + q_u(\tau)\right) + \\ &+ v(\tau)\left(1 - 4x_0^-(\tau) - 2y_0^-(\tau) - v(\tau) + q_v(\tau)\right) \\ &= u(\tau)\ r_v(x_0^-(\tau), y_0^-(\tau), u(\tau), v(\tau)) \\ &+ v(\tau)\left(1 + s_v(x_0^-(\tau), y_0^-(\tau), u(\tau), v(\tau))\right), \qquad (38) \end{aligned}$$

where $p_{u/v}$ and $q_{u/v}$ are some finite order polynomials that contain only the monomials $(x_0^-)^i(y_0^-)^j u^k v^n$, $i + j + k + n \geq 2$, and $r_{u/v}$, $s_{u/v}$ are some finite order polynomials without constant terms.

Let A, $\delta > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ be as in Propositions 3.1 and 3.2. Notice that for $(u, v) = \alpha_0^+ - \alpha_0^-$, where $\alpha_0^\pm$ are as in Theorem B, the function r_v is in $\mathcal{X}_{2-\varepsilon}(D_{A,\delta})$, where

$$D_{A,\delta} = \left(D_{A,\delta}^+ \cap D_{A,\delta}^-\right) \cup \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : \Im(\tau) < 0\}.$$

We rewrite the equations (37-38) as

$$\begin{aligned} u(\tau+1) &= u(\tau)\left(1 + m(\tau)\right) + v(\tau)\left(1 + b(\tau)\right), \\ v(\tau+1) &= u(\tau)\ c(\tau) + v(\tau)\left(1 + d(\tau)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $m, b, d \in \mathcal{X}_{2-\varepsilon}(D_{A,\delta})$ and $c \in \mathcal{X}_{3-\varepsilon}(D_{A,\delta})$. After the change $\hat{u}(\tau) = u(\tau)$, $\hat{v}(\tau) = a_{21}(\tau)u(\tau) + v(\tau)$ with

$$a_{21} = \frac{m(\tau) - d(\tau) + \sqrt{\left(m(\tau) - d(\tau)\right)^2 + 4\ c(\tau)\left(1 + b(\tau)\right)}}{2\left(1 + b(\tau)\right)},$$

we transform the above system into an upper-diagonal form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}(\tau+1) &= \hat{u}(\tau)\left(1 + \hat{f}_u(\tau)\right) + \hat{v}(\tau)\left(1 + \hat{g}_u(\tau)\right), \qquad (39) \\ \hat{v}(\tau+1) &= \hat{v}(\tau)\left(1 + \hat{g}_v(\tau)\right), \qquad (40) \end{aligned}$$

where the functions $\hat{f}_u, \hat{g}_u, \hat{g}_v$ are in $\mathcal{X}_{2-\varepsilon}(D_{A,\delta})$. We, therefore, consider the solutions of the equations (39)-(40) and eliminate the variable v from the first equation. We substitute the second equation (40) into the first (39) to obtain

$$\hat{u}(\tau+1) = \hat{u}(\tau)\left(1 + \hat{f}_u(\tau)\right) + \hat{v}(\tau-1)\left(1 + \hat{g}_u(\tau)\right)\left(1 + \hat{g}_v(\tau-1)\right). \qquad (41)$$

Next, we express $\hat{v}(\tau-1)$ from the first equation (39),

$$\hat{v}(\tau-1)=\frac{\hat{u}(\tau)-\hat{u}(\tau-1)(1+\hat{f}_u(\tau-1))}{1+\hat{g}_u(\tau-1)} \tag{42}$$

and substitute into (41), to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{u}(\tau+1)\,(1+\hat{g}_u(\tau-1))+\hat{u}(\tau-1)\left((1+\hat{f}_u(\tau-1)\right)(1+\hat{g}_v(\tau-1))\,(1+\hat{g}_u(\tau))\\ &\quad-\quad\hat{u}(\tau)\left((1+\hat{f}_u(\tau))(1+\hat{g}_u(\tau-1))+(1+\hat{g}_v(\tau-1))(1+\hat{g}_u(\tau))\right)=0\implies\\ &\hat{u}(\tau+1)\,(1+f_{+1}(\tau))+\hat{u}(\tau-1)\,((1+f_{-1}(\tau))+\hat{u}(\tau)\,(-2+f_0(\tau))=0,\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where $f_{\pm1}$ and f_0 are some functions in $\mathcal{X}_\gamma(D_{A,\delta})$, $\gamma=\min\{\mu,2-\varepsilon\}$. As such, $f_{\pm1}$ and f_0 have no constant terms,

$$f_i(\tau)=\frac{c_1^i}{\tau}+O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^2}\right),\ i=-1,0,1,$$

with

$$c_1^{-1}=-3i,\quad c_1^0=4i,\quad c_1^1=-i.$$

The equation (43) has two functionally independent solutions, which have the following representation (cf. [Hun])

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_\pm(\tau)&=e^{\pm a\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}\tau^r\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{b_k^\pm}{\tau^{\frac{k}{2}}}\right),\\ a&=2\sqrt{2c_1^0-c_1^{-1}-c_1^1}=4\sqrt{3i},\\ r&=\frac{c_1^{-1}-c_1^1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}=-i+\frac{1}{4}.\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Substitution of the above into the equation (43) gives,

$$b_1^\pm=\mp\frac{1}{48}\frac{32(c_1^{-1})^2-32(c_1^{-1}-3)c_1^0-16(c_1^0)^2+8(2c_1^{-1}-4c_1^0-9)c_1^1+32(c_1^1)^2-24c_1^{-1}+9}{\sqrt{-c_1^{-1}+2c_1^0-c_1^1}}.$$

Our goal in this section will be to show that u and v, or, equivalently, $\hat{u}$ and $\hat{v}$ vanish exponentially as $|\Im(\tau)|$ grows. To demonstrate this, we would first require several results about solutions of the second-order homogeneous difference equations.

Consider the equation (43), where all functions are defined in some domain $D\subset\mathbb{C}$. A solution of the equation (43) is a function defined in D and satisfying the equation at any point τ, such that τ, $\tau-1$, $\tau+1$ belong to D. We will call a function α defined in D, such that $\alpha(\tau+1)=\alpha(\tau)$ for all τ such that $\tau+1$ is also in D.

Following [La1], define the operators

$$\begin{aligned}(\Delta f)(\tau) &= f(\tau+1)-f(\tau),\\ (\bar{\Delta} f)(\tau) &= f(\tau)-f(\tau-1),\\ (\Delta^2 f)(\tau) &= f(\tau+1)+f(\tau-1)-2f(\tau),\\ f`(\tau) &= f(\tau+1),\\ `f(\tau) &= f(\tau-1).\end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to demonstrate that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^2 &= \Delta\bar{\Delta}=\bar{\Delta}\Delta,\\ \bar{\Delta} f` &= (\bar{\Delta} f)` = \Delta f,\\ \Delta` f &= `(\Delta f) = \bar{\Delta} f,\\ \Delta f\cdot g &= \Delta f\cdot g` + f\cdot\Delta g,\\ \bar{\Delta} f\cdot g &= \Delta f\cdot `g + f\cdot\bar{\Delta} g.\end{aligned}$$

The Wronskian of two functions f and g will be defined as

$$W(f,g)(\tau)=f(\tau)(g(\tau+1)-g(\tau))-g(\tau)(f(\tau+1)-f(\tau))=f(\tau)(\Delta g)(\tau)-g(\tau)(\Delta f)(\tau).$$

In this notation, the equation (43) assumes the form

$$(1+f_{-1})(\Delta^2\hat{u})(\tau)+(f_{+1}-f_{-1})(\Delta\hat{u})(\tau)+(f_0+f_{+1}+f_{-1})\hat{u}(\tau)=0. \tag{45}$$

Assume that A is sufficiently large, so that the function $(1+f_{-1})^{-1}$ is analytic on $D_{A,\delta}$. Then, the equation (45) is of the form

$$(\Delta^2\hat{u})(\tau)+w(\tau)(\Delta\hat{u})(\tau)+z(\tau)\hat{u}(\tau)=0, \tag{46}$$

where w and z are in $\mathcal{X}_\gamma(D_{A,\delta})$.

Lemma 4.1. *If $\phi_\pm$ are two functionally independent solutions of the equation (46), such that $W(\phi_+,\phi_-)$ is non-zero everywhere in D, then the general solution is given by*

$$\phi(\tau)=\alpha_-(\tau)\phi_-(\tau)+\alpha_+(\tau)\phi_+(\tau),$$

where

$$\alpha_\pm = \mp\frac{W(\phi,\phi_\mp)}{W(\phi_+,\phi_-)} \tag{47}$$

are periodic functions.

Proof. Let ϕ, ϕ_+ and ϕ_- be any three solutions of (46), such that $W(\phi_+,\phi_-)\neq 0$. Then, a straightforward computations demonstrates that

$$\phi=\frac{W(\phi,\phi_+)}{W(\phi_+,\phi_-)}\phi_- - \frac{W(\phi,\phi_-)}{W(\phi_+,\phi_-)}\phi_+.$$

We consider the function $\alpha_- = W(\phi, \phi_+)/W(\phi_+, \phi_-)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Delta}\alpha_- &= \bar{\Delta}\frac{W(\phi, \phi_+)}{W(\phi_+, \phi_-)} \\
&= \frac{W(\phi, \phi_+)}{W(\phi_+, \phi_-)} - \frac{`W(\phi, \phi_+)}{`W(\phi_+, \phi_-)} \\
&= \frac{W(\phi, \phi_+)`W(\phi_+, \phi_-) - `W(\phi, \phi_+)W(\phi_+, \phi_-)}{W(\phi_+, \phi_-)`W(\phi_+, \phi_-)} \\
&= \frac{W(\phi_+, \phi_-)\bar{\Delta}W(\phi, \phi_+) - W(\phi, \phi_+)\bar{\Delta}W(\phi_+, \phi_-)}{W(\phi_+, \phi_-)`W(\phi_+, \phi_-)}. \qquad (48)
\end{aligned}$$

We will therefore require an expression for $\bar{\Delta}W(\phi, \psi)$ where ϕ and ψ are any two solutions of (46).

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Delta}W(\phi, \psi) &= \bar{\Delta}\left(\phi\Delta\psi - \psi\Delta\phi\right) \\
&= \bar{\Delta}\phi\bar{\Delta}\psi + \phi\Delta^2\psi - \bar{\Delta}\psi\bar{\Delta}\phi - \psi\Delta^2\phi \\
&= \phi\left(-w\Delta\psi - z\psi\right) - \psi\left(-w\Delta\phi - z\phi\right) \\
&= -wW(\phi, \psi).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (48) becomes

$$\bar{\Delta}\alpha_- = \frac{-wW(\phi_+, \phi_-)W(\phi, \phi_+) + wW(\phi, \phi_+)W(\phi_+, \phi_-)}{W(\phi_+, \phi_-)`W(\phi_+, \phi_-)} = 0,$$

and α_- is a periodic function. Similarly for α_+. □

Now we are ready to demonstrate the exponential bound on a solution of the equation (46).

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{X}_\mu(D_{A,\delta})$ be a solution of the equation (46), then for every $\kappa > 0$ there exist constants C and K, such that*

$$|\hat{u}(\tau)| \leq C|\tau|^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\hat{u}\|_\mu e^{-(2\pi-\kappa)|\Im(\tau)|}, \qquad (49)$$

$$|\hat{u}(\tau)| \geq K|\tau|^{\frac{1}{4}} \min_{\substack{|\Re(x)|\leq\frac{1}{2},\\ \Im(x)=-(A+1)\tan\delta}} \left\{|\hat{u}(\tau)|\right\} e^{-(2\pi+\kappa)|\Im(\tau)|} \qquad (50)$$

hold for all $\tau \in D_{A,\delta}$

Proof. Consider the Wronskian $W(\phi_+, \phi_-)$, where $\phi_\pm$ are as in (44).

$$\begin{aligned}
W(\phi_+,\phi_-) &= e^{a\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}\tau^r\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{b_k^+}{\tau^{\frac{k}{2}}}\right)\Delta e^{-a\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}\tau^r\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{b_k^-}{\tau^{\frac{k}{2}}}\right)\\
&- e^{-a\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}\tau^r\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{b_k^-}{\tau^{\frac{k}{2}}}\right)\Delta e^{a\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}\tau^r\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{b_k^+}{\tau^{\frac{k}{2}}}\right)\\
&= \left(e^{a\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}\tau^r\left(e^{-a(\tau+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\tau+1)^r-e^{-a\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}\tau^r\right)-\right.\\
&\quad \left.-e^{-a\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}\tau^r\left(e^{a(\tau+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\tau+1)^r-e^{a\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}\tau^r\right)\right)\left(1+O\left(\tau^{-\frac{k}{2}}\right)\right)\\
&= (\tau+1)^r\tau^r\left(e^{a\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}e^{-a(\tau+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}-e^{-a\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}e^{a(\tau+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\left(1+O\left(\tau^{-\frac{k}{2}}\right)\right)\\
&= (\tau+1)^r\tau^r\left(e^{-a\left(\frac{1}{2\tau}+O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^2}\right)\right)}-e^{a\left(\frac{1}{2\tau}+O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^2}\right)\right)}\right)\left(1+O\left(\tau^{-\frac{k}{2}}\right)\right)\\
&= -(\tau+1)^r\tau^{r-\frac{1}{2}}a\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\right)\left(1+O\left(\tau^{-\frac{k}{2}}\right)\right). \qquad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

We see that the above is non-zero on $D_{A,\delta}$ if A is sufficiently large.

If $\hat{u}$ is any solution of the equation (46), then according to Lemma 4.1,

$$\hat{u} = \alpha_-\phi_- + \alpha_+\phi_+,\ \alpha_\pm = \mp\frac{W(\hat{u},\phi_\mp)}{W(\phi_+,\phi_-)}.$$

Therefore, using (44), (47) and (51)

$$|\alpha_\pm(\tau)| = \left|\frac{W(\hat{u},\phi_\mp)}{W(\phi_+,\phi_-)}\right| = O\left(\frac{|\hat{u}(\tau)|\left|e^{\mp a\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}\tau^r\right|}{|\tau^{2r-\frac{1}{2}}|}\right) = O\left(\frac{|\hat{u}(\tau)|e^{\mp\Re(a\tau^{\frac{1}{2}})}}{\left|\tau^{r-\frac{1}{2}}\right|}\right). \qquad (52)$$

The function $\hat{u}$ is in $\mathcal{X}_{2-\varepsilon}(D_{A,\delta})$, therefore, the norm $\|\hat{u}\|_{2-\varepsilon}$ is bounded, and

$$|\alpha_\pm(\tau)| = O\left(\frac{\|\hat{u}(\tau)\|_{2-\varepsilon}e^{\mp\Re(a\tau^{\frac{1}{2}})}}{\left|\tau^{r+2-\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}}\right|}\right). \qquad (53)$$

Since $\alpha_\pm$ are periodic, the following functions are well-defined

$$\beta_\pm(z) = \alpha_\pm\left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\ln(z)\right)$$

on $\mathbb{D}_R\setminus\{0\}$ where $R = e^{-2\pi(A+1)\tan\delta}$. Notice, our chosen branch of the logarithm maps the set $\mathbb{D}_R\setminus\{0\}$ onto the semi-infinite strip $S_{A,\delta} = \{z\in\mathbb{C} : 1/2 < \Re(z) \le 1/2, \Im(z) < -(A+1)\tan\delta\}$. By (53), $\alpha_\pm\to 0$ in $S_{A,\delta}$ as $\Im(\tau)\to-\infty$, therefore, $\beta_\pm$ is a well-defined holomorphic function on all of $\mathbb{D}_R$. We have, denoting, $z =$

$e^{-2\pi i\tau}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\beta_\pm(z)}{z}\right| &\le \max_{|z|=R}\left|\frac{\beta_\pm(z)}{z}\right| = e^{2\pi(A+1)\tan\delta}\max_{\substack{\tau\in S_{A,\delta},\\ \Im(\tau)=-(A+1)\tan\delta}}|\alpha_\pm(\tau)|\\ &\le C_1\max_{\substack{|\Re(\tau)|\le\frac12,\\ \Im(\tau)=-(A+1)\tan\delta}}\left\{\frac{|\hat u(\tau)|e^{\mp\Re(a\tau^{\frac12})}}{\left|\tau^{r-\frac12}\right|}\right\}e^{2\pi(A+1)\tan\delta}\\ &\le C_1\frac{\|\hat u\|_\mu}{((A+1)\tan\delta)^{\Re(r)+\mu-\frac12}}\max_{|s|\le\frac12}\left\{e^{\mp\Re(a(-i(A+1)\tan\delta+s)^{\frac12})}\right\}e^{2\pi(A+1)\tan\delta}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\beta_\pm(z)}{z}\right| &\ge \min_{|z|=R}\left|\frac{\beta_\pm(z)}{z}\right| = e^{2\pi(A+1)\tan\delta}\min_{\substack{\tau\in S_{A,\delta},\\ \Im(\tau)=-(A+1)\tan\delta}}|\alpha_\pm(\tau)|\\ &\ge K_1\min_{\substack{|\Re(\tau)|\le\frac12,\\ \Im(\tau)=-(A+1)\tan\delta}}\left\{\frac{|\hat u(\tau)|e^{\mp\Re(a\tau^{\frac12})}}{\left|\tau^{r-\frac12}\right|}\right\}e^{2\pi(A+1)\tan\delta}\\ &\ge K_2\min_{\substack{|\Re(\tau)|\le\frac12,\\ \Im(\tau)=-(A+1)\tan\delta}}\left\{|\hat u(\tau)|e^{\mp\Re(a\tau^{\frac12})}\right\}\frac{e^{2\pi(A+1)\tan\delta}}{((A+1)\tan\delta)^{\Re(r)-\frac12}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|\alpha_\pm(\tau)| \le C_1\frac{\|\hat u\|_\mu}{((A+1)\tan\delta)^{r+\mu-\frac12}}\max_{|s|\le\frac12}\left\{e^{\mp\Re(a(-i(A+1)\tan\delta+s)^{\frac12})}\right\}e^{2\pi(A+1)\tan\delta-2\pi|\Im(\tau)|},$$

$$|\alpha_\pm(\tau)| \ge K_2\min_{\substack{|\Re(\tau)|\le\frac12,\\ \Im(\tau)=-(A+1)\tan\delta}}\left\{|\hat u(\tau)|e^{\mp\Re(a\tau^{\frac12})}\right\}\frac{1}{((A+1)\tan\delta)^{\Re(r)-\frac12}}e^{2\pi(A+1)\tan\delta-2\pi|\Im(\tau)|},$$

Finally, we have, using the expressions (44) for $\phi_\pm$,

$$|\alpha_\pm(\tau)\phi_\pm(\tau)| \le \frac{C_2\|\hat u\|_\mu\left|e^{\pm a\tau^{\frac12}}\tau^r\right|}{((A+1)\tan\delta)^{r+\mu-\frac12}}\max_{|s|\le\frac12}\left\{e^{\mp\Re(a(-i(A+1)\tan\delta+s)^{\frac12})}\right\}e^{2\pi(A+1)\tan\delta-2\pi|\Im(\tau)|},$$

$$|\alpha_\pm(\tau)\phi_\pm(\tau)| \ge K_3\min_{\substack{|\Re(x)|\le\frac12,\\ \Im(x)=-(A+1)\tan\delta}}\left\{|\hat u(\tau)|e^{\mp\Re(a\tau^{\frac12})}\right\}\frac{\left|e^{\pm a\tau^{\frac12}}\tau^r\right|}{((A+1)\tan\delta)^{\Re(r)-\frac12}}e^{2\pi(A+1)\tan\delta-2\pi|\Im(\tau)|},$$

for some constant C_2 and K_3, depending on A and δ. Notice, that

$$\left|a\tau^{\frac12}\right| < C_3|\Im(\tau)|^{\frac12} + C_4|\Re(\tau)|^{\frac12} \le C_5|\Im(\tau)|^{\frac12},$$

where C_3, C_4 and C_5 are some constants that depend on $a\neq 0$ and $D_{A,\delta}$

Furthermore, $a = 4\sqrt{3i}$, and elementary geometric considerations demonstrate that $\{a\tau^{\frac12} : \tau\in D_{A,\delta}\} \Subset \{z\in\mathbb{C} : |\arg(z)| < \nu\}\cup\{z\in\mathbb{C} : |\arg(z)-\pi| < \nu\}$ for

some $\nu < \pi/4$. Therefore, there exists a positive constants K_4 such that

$$K_4|\Im(\tau)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq |\Re(a\tau^{\frac{1}{2}})|.$$

Therefore,

$$|\hat{u}(\tau)| \leq C_6|\tau|^{\Re(r)}e^{-\Im(r)\arg(\tau)}\|\hat{u}\|_\mu e^{2\pi(A+1)\tan\delta - 2\pi|\Im(\tau)| + C_5|\Im(\tau)|^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

and

$$|\hat{u}(\tau)| \geq K_3 \min_{\substack{|\Re(\tau)|\leq\frac{1}{2},\\ \Im(\tau)=-(A+1)\tan\delta}} \{|\hat{u}(\tau)|\}\, \frac{|\tau^r|}{((A+1)\tan\delta)^{\Re(r)}} e^{2\pi(A+1)\tan\delta - 2\pi|\Im(\tau)| - K_4|\Im(\tau)|^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

In particular, for any $\kappa > 0$, there exists a constants C and K, such that (49) and (50) hold for all $\tau \in D_{A,\delta}$. □

Since the function $\hat{v}$ is defined through the equation (42), we immediately have the following.

Corollary 4.2.1. *A solution $\hat{v}$ of the equation (40) satisfies a similar bound*

$$|\hat{v}(\tau)| \;\leq\; C|\tau|^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\hat{u}\|_\mu e^{-(2\pi-\kappa)|\Im(\tau)|}, \tag{54}$$

possibly with a different constant C.

Recall, that we are not trying to prove the existence of solutions of equations (37)–(38): the functions $u = x_0^+ - x_0^-$ and $v = y_0^+ - y_0^-$ are known to be in $\mathcal{X}_{2-\varepsilon}(D_{A,\delta})$ and $\mathcal{X}_{3-\varepsilon}(D_{A,\delta})$, respectively, and satisfy this system by construction.The same conclusion holds about the functions u and v:

$$|u(\tau)| \;\leq\; K|\tau|^{\frac{1}{4}}\|x_0^+ - x_0^-\|_{2-\varepsilon} e^{2\pi(A-(1-\kappa)|\Im(\tau)|)}, \tag{55}$$

$$|v(\tau)| \;\leq\; K|\tau|^{\frac{1}{4}}\|y_0^+ - y_0^-\|_{3-\varepsilon} e^{2\pi(A-(1-\kappa)|\Im(\tau)|)}. \tag{56}$$

5. Exponential splitting

We consider the splitting component normal to the unstable separatrix

$$\hat{\Theta}(\tau) = \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d\pi_x\alpha_0^-(\tau)}{d\tau} & \pi_x(\alpha_0^+(\tau) - \alpha_0^-(\tau)) \\ \frac{d\pi_y\alpha_0^-(\tau)}{d\tau} & \pi_y(\alpha_0^+(\tau) - \alpha_0^-(\tau)) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{57}$$

In the next Proposition we will require the following result from [La1].

Let $\delta \in (0, \pi/2)$ and $B > 4\tan\delta$. Set

$$D_\delta^B = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : -\pi + \delta \leq \arg z \leq -\delta, \Im z \leq -B\}. \tag{58}$$

Clearly, the set D_δ^B is a subset of $D_{A,\delta'}$ for some A and δ'.

Lemma 5.1. *For any positive $\rho > 0$, $\mu > 0$, there exists a linear map $\Delta^{-1} : \mathcal{X}_{\mu+2+\rho}(\mathcal{D}_\delta^B) \mapsto \mathcal{X}_\mu(\mathcal{D}_\delta^B)$ such that*

i) given $g \in \mathcal{X}_{\mu+2+\rho}(\mathcal{D}_\delta^B)$, $\Delta^{-1}(g)$ is the solution of the equation

$$\Delta(u) := u(z+1) - u(z) = g(z);$$

ii) the following estimate holds for the norm of Δ^{-1}

$$\|\Delta^{-1}\| \leq C\ B^{-\rho}, \tag{59}$$

where the constant depends only on δ, μ and ρ.

Proposition 5.2. *Let A, δ and ε be as in the Propositions 3.1 and 3.2. Let B and δ' be such that $D_{\delta'}^B \subset D_{A,\delta}$. Then the normal component of splitting is an asymptotically periodic function in $D_{\delta'}^B$:*

$$\hat{\Theta}(\tau) = \Theta_1(F_0)e^{-2\pi i\tau} + \hat{\Theta}_p(\tau) + O\left(e^{-4\pi|\Im(\tau)|}\right).$$

where for any $\mu > 0$ and $\rho > 0$,

$$\|\hat{\Theta}_p\|_\mu \leq K'\|\alpha_0^+ - \alpha_0^-\|_{2-\varepsilon}^2, \tag{60}$$

and

$$|\hat{\Theta}_p(\tau)| \leq K''|\tau|^{\frac{1}{2}+\rho+\epsilon}\|\alpha_0^+ - \alpha_0^-\|_{2-\varepsilon}^2 e^{4\pi(A-(1-\kappa)|\Im(\tau)|)}|, \tag{61}$$

where K' and K'' depend on A, B, δ, δ', μ, ρ, and Θ_1 is a constant that depends on F_0.

Proof. Denote $\phi(\tau) = \frac{d\alpha_0^-(\tau)}{d\tau}$ and $\psi(\tau) = \alpha_0^+(\tau) - \alpha_0^-(\tau)$.

According to equation (23),

$$\phi(\tau+1) = DF_0(\alpha_0^-(\tau))\phi(\tau),$$
$$\psi(\tau+1) = DF_0(\alpha_0^-(\tau))\psi(\tau) + \left\{F_0(\alpha_0^-(\tau)+\psi(\tau)) - F_0(\alpha_0^-(\tau)) - DF_0(\alpha_0^-(\tau))\psi(\tau)\right\}.$$

Since F_0 is area-preserving, $\det F_0 = 1$, and we have, therefore,

$$\hat{\Theta}(\tau+1) = \hat{\Theta}(\tau) + \det(M(\tau)) \tag{62}$$

where the matrix-valued function $M(\tau)$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} M(\tau) &= \begin{bmatrix}\phi(\tau) & F_0(\alpha_0^-(\tau)+\psi(\tau)) - F_0(\alpha_0^-(\tau)) - DF_0(\alpha_0^-(\tau))\psi(\tau)\end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{dx_0^-(\tau)}{d\tau} & O((x_0^+(\tau)-x_0^-(\tau))^i(y_0^+(\tau)-y_0^-(\tau))^j) \\ \frac{dy_0^-(\tau)}{d\tau} & O((x_0^+(\tau)-x_0^-(\tau))^i(y_0^+(\tau)-y_0^-(\tau))^j)\end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$i + j = 2$. Denote $g(\tau) := \det(M(\tau)$. The fact that, by Theorem B $x_0^- \in \mathcal{X}_{2-\epsilon}(D_{A,\delta})$ and $y_0^- \in \mathcal{X}_{3-\epsilon}(D_{A,\delta})$, and that $(D_{\delta'}^B \cup \{\infty\}) \Subset (D_{A,\delta} \cup \{\infty\})$ in $\mathbb{C}^*$, implies via Cauchy bounds that

$$\left|\frac{dx_0^-(\tau)}{d\tau}\right| \leq C_1\frac{1}{|\tau|^{2-\epsilon}}, \quad \left|\frac{dy_0^-(\tau)}{d\tau}\right| \leq C_2\frac{1}{|\tau|^{3-\epsilon}}$$

for some constants C_1 and C_2. This, together with the bounds (55) and (56), implies that

$$|g(\tau)| \leq C\frac{1}{|\tau|^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon}}\|\alpha_0^+ - \alpha_0^-\|_{2-\varepsilon}^2 e^{4\pi(A-(1-\kappa)|\Im(\tau)|)} \tag{63}$$

for some constant C. The exponential dampening factor in (63) means that $g \in \mathcal{X}_{\mu+2+\rho}(D^B_{\delta'})$ for any $\mu > 0$ and $\rho > 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 5.1, the equation (62) has a particular solution $\hat{\Theta}_p$ which satisfies for any fixed $\mu > 0$ and $\rho > 0$

$$\|\hat{\Theta}_p\|_\mu \le C'(A \tan\delta)^{-\rho} \|\alpha_0^+ - \alpha_0^-\|^2_{2-\varepsilon},$$

where $C' = C'(A, B, \delta', \delta, \mu, \rho)$. Furthermore, again, by Lemma 5.1,

$$|\hat{\Theta}_p(\tau)\tau^\mu| \le C''(A \tan\delta)^{-\rho} \frac{|\tau|^{\mu+2+\rho}}{|\tau|^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon}} \|\alpha_0^+ - \alpha_0^-\|^2_{2-\varepsilon} e^{4\pi(A-(1-\kappa)|\Im(\tau)|)}|,$$

and the bound (61) follows.

The difference $\hat{\Theta} - \hat{\Theta}_p$ satisfies $\Delta\left(\hat{\Theta} - \hat{\Theta}_p\right) = 0$, and, therefore, is a periodic function in $D^B_{\delta'}$. We consider the first Fourier coefficient Θ_1 of this periodic function,

$$\Theta_1 = \int_\tau^{\tau+1} e^{2\pi i s} \left(\hat{\Theta}(s) - \hat{\Theta}_p(s)\right) ds, \ \tau, \tau+1 \in D^B_{\delta'}.$$

Finally,

$$\hat{\Theta}(\tau) = \hat{\Theta}_p(\tau) + \Theta_1 e^{-2\pi i \tau} + O\left(e^{-4\pi|\Im(\tau)|}\right).$$

The conclusion of the Proposition follows. □

Now, recall that τ was a reparametrization of time t: $\tau = (t - i\pi/2)/h$. This implies that for real t

$$|\hat{\theta}(t)| = |\Theta_1| e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{h}} + O\left(h^{-\frac{1}{2}-\rho-\epsilon} \|\alpha_0^+ - \alpha_0^-\|^2_{2-\varepsilon} e^{-2(1-\kappa)\frac{\pi^2}{h}}\right) + O\left(e^{-2\frac{\pi^2}{h}}\right), \quad (64)$$

where $\hat{\theta}(t) := \hat{\Theta}\left((t - i\pi/2)/h\right)$.

The Main Theorem follows now from (64).

Calculations of this Section and Section 4 can be repeated verbatim for the differences $\alpha_0^+ - \alpha_0^-$ restricted to the domains

$$U_{A,\delta} = \left(D^+_{A,\delta} \cap D^-_{A,\delta}\right) \cup \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : \Im(\tau) > 0\}$$

and

$$U^B_\delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : -\pi + \delta \le \arg z \le -\delta, \Im z \ge B\}.$$

in the upper half plane, with the same conclusions.

References

[BCGG] G. Benettin et al, Universal properties in conservative dynamical systems, *Lettere al Nuovo Cimento* **28** (1980) 1–4.

[Bou] T. Bountis, Period doubling bifurcations and universality in conservative Systems, *Physica* **3D** (1981) 577–589.

[DGJS] A. Delshams, V. G. Gelfreich, À. Jorba and T. M. Seara, Exponentially small splitting of separatrices under fast quasiperiodic forcing, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 189 (1997), 35–71

[DGG1] A. Delshams, M. Gonchenko and P. Gutiérrez, Exponentially Small Splitting of Separatrices and Transversality Associated to Whiskered Tori with Quadratic Frequency Ratio. *SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.*, **15** (2016), no. 2, 981-1024.

[DGG2] A. Delshams, M. Gonchenko and P. Gutiérrez, Exponentially Small Asymptotic Estimates for the Splitting of Separatrices to Whiskered Tori with Quadratic and Cubic Frequencies, *Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci.*, **21** (2014), 41-61.

[DGG3] A. Delshams, M. Gonchenko and P. Gutiérrez, Exponentially Small Lower Bounds for the Splitting of Separatrices to Whiskered Tori with Frequencies of Constant Type, *Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg.*, **24** (2014), no. 8, 1440011, 12 pp.

[DR] A. Delshams and R. Ramí rez-Ros, Exponentially small splitting of separatrices for perturbed integrable standard-like maps, *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, **8** (1998), 317-352.

[DP] B. Derrida, Y. Pomeau, Feigenbaum's ratios of two dimensional area preserving maps, *Phys. Lett.* **A80** (1980) 217–219.

[Du1] P. Duarte, Plenty of elliptic islands for the standard family of area preserving maps, *Annales de l'I.H.P* **C11:4** (1994) 359–409.

[Du2] P. Duarte, Persistent homoclinic tangencies for conservative maps near identity, *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **20** (2000) 393–438.

[EKW1] J.P. Eckmann, H. Koch and P. Wittwer, Existence of a fixed point of the doubling transformation for area-preserving maps of the plane, *Phys. Rev. A* **26:1** (1982), 720–722.

[EKW2] J. P. Eckmann, H. Koch, P.Wittwer. A Computer-Assited Proof of Universality for area-preserving Maps, *Memoirs of the American Math. Soc.* **47** (1984), 1-121.

[FS] E. Fontich and C. Simo, Invariant manifolds for near identity differentiable maps and splitting of separatrices, *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **10** (2000) 319–346.

[GJ1] D. Gaidashev, T. Johnson, Dynamics of the Universal Area-Preserving Map Associated with Period Doubling: Hyperbolic Sets, *Nonlinearity* **22** 2487-2520.

[GJ2] D. Gaidashev, T. Johnson, Dynamics of the Universal Area-Preserving Map Associated with Period Doubling: Stable Sets, *J. Mod. Dyn.* **3** (2009), no 4, 555–587.

[GJ3] D. Gaidashev, T. Johnson, Spectral properties of renormalization for area-preserving maps, to appear in *Ergod. Theory Dyn. Sys.* (2016).

[GJM] D. Gaidashev, T. Johnson, M. Martens, Rigidity for infinitely renormalizable area-preserving maps. *Duke Math. J.* **165:1** (2016), 129-159.

[GS] V. Gelfreich, D. Sauzin, Borel summation and splitting of separatrices for the Hénon map, *Annales de l'Inst. Fourier* **51:2** (2001), 513-567.

[Ge1] V. G. Gelfreich, A proof of the exponentially small transversality of the separatrices for the standard map, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **201** (1999), 155–216

[Ge2] V. Gelfreich, Splitting of a small separatrix loop near the saddle-center bifurcation in area-preserving maps, *Physica D* **136:34** (2000), 266279.

[GK1] A. Gorodetsky and V. Kaloshin, Conservative homoclinic bifurcations and some applications, Steklov Institute Proceedings, vol. 267 (dedicated to the 70th anniversary of Vladimir Arnold), pp. 76-90.

[GK2] A. Gorodetsky and V. Kaloshin, Hausdorff dimension of oscillatory motion for the restricted three body problems, *preprint, available at* `http://www.terpconnect.umd.edu/∼ vkaloshi` (2012),

[GMS] M. Guardia, P. Martin and T. M. Seara, Oscillatory motions for the restricted planar circular three body problem, *Inventiones Math.* DOI 10.1007/s00222-015-0591-y (2015).

[Hel] R. H. G. Helleman, Self-generated chaotic behavior in nonlinear mechanics, in "Fundamental problems in statistical mechanics", Ed. by E. G. D. Cohen, North-Holland, Amsterdam, p.165, (1980).

[Hun] C. Hunter, Asymmptotic Solutions of Certain Linear Difference Equations with Applications to Some Eigenvalue Problems, *J Math. Anal. Appl.* **24** (1968), 279-289.

[La1] V. F. Lazutkin, An analytic integral along the separatrix of the semistandard map: existence and exponential estimate for the distance between the stable and unstable separatrices. *Algebra i Analiz* **4:4** (1992), 110–142.

[La2] V. F. Lazutkin, Splitting of separatrices for the Chirikov standard map, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, **300** (2003), 25–55, 285.

[LMS] P. Lochak, J.-P. Marco and D. Sauzin, On the splitting of invariant manifolds in multidimensional near-integrable Hamiltonian systems, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **163** (2003).

[Yo] K. Yosida, On the Asymptotic Property of the Differential Equation $y" + H(x)y = f(x, y, y').II.$, *Japanese J. Math.* **9** (1932), p 227-230.

Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
E-mail address: gaidash@math.uu.se

Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
E-mail address: mgonchenko@gmail.com